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Background

- Research part of dissertation
  - Higher Ed Leadership
  - Online learning focus
  - UofC, 2009

- Online experience
  - 3 online degrees from UofA and UofC
  - Some online courses from AU
  - Over 30 online academic courses
  - Online instructor and designer
Research Methods

- 163 graduate students
- Western Canadian university
- Analyzed:
  - Student characteristics
  - Motives for and perceptions of online learning

- Mixed method
- 3 stages
  - Online survey
  - Virtual focus groups
  - Virtual and f2f interviews
Participant Characteristics

- Middle aged
- Female
- Married
- Work full-time in education
- North America
  - Rural
  - Urban
- Online at night and weekends
- Master and doctoral degrees
- Education programs
- 7 years since formal education
- 4 fully online courses
- Adequate technology and info literacy skills
OVERVIEW OF 3 ISSUES

• contentious online learning activities

• requested blended learning opportunities

• difficulty defining online pedagogical strategies
# Learner Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Needs</th>
<th>Leadership Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contentious learning activities</td>
<td>Examining overwhelming discussion postings, unfavourable group work, and balanced workloads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blended learning</td>
<td>Exploring the feasibility of multiple site visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online pedagogy</td>
<td>Defining institutional specific online pedagogy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determining best practices online</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contentious Learning Activities

Online Discussions

• Most enjoyed online discussions, interactions and collaboration
• Participants were motivated by interacting with others and exploring beliefs, values, and practices together (Brookfield, 1986; Vanderbilt, 2009)

However …
• However many .....  
  – found the number of discussion postings overwhelming  
    • inhibited learning  
  
  – did not enjoy online group work  
    • negatively affected progress  
    • tardy or absent members
Online Discussion Solutions

Learning activities should consider the level of self-directed learning desired by adult learners along with their need to interact and collaborate with others.

(Cranton, 1992; Cross, 1981; Knowles, Holton, & Swanson, 2005; Merriam, 2003).

- Reduce overuse of activities
  - Create variety
- Monitor online discussions
  - Facilitate more
- Allow choice on how to participate
Contentious Learning Activities

Workloads

• Busy schedules of adult students
• More time required to work online (vs. f2f)
• Some learning activities demand too much time
Workload Solutions

Like other studies, participants thought online graduate students had higher workloads than face-to-face graduate students.

(Bowman, 2006; Campbell & Khalideen, 2008; Dove, 2006; Loeffler, 2005; Klinger, 2003; McPherson & Nunes, 2004),

• Allow time to:
  – Reflect and discuss topics
  – Read text
  – Compose assignments

• Be flexible with attendance and assignment dates

• Socialize online more
Blended Learning Opportunities

- Graduate programs mostly delivered online
- Some participants sought to create blended learning opportunities
  - Take more campus courses
  - Pursue blended programs
  - Increased bond between fellow students
  - Improved working relationship once rejoined online.
- However...
  - Others chose program due to lack of residency requirement (full lives, live abroad)
Blended Learning Solutions

Offering campus-based visits for a select number of participants might not be feasible in terms of faculty and staff time, costs, and scheduling.

(Webber, 2008)

- Offer gatherings in simulated and virtual reality environments delivered online in order to emulate face-to-face meetings.
Online Pedagogy Defined

- Participants asked for courses to be designed with online pedagogy strategies
  - Instructors and designers to consider the distance learner and online environment
  - To not simply copy f2f course to online environment

- However….
  - Difficult to determine how participants defined an online form of teaching and learning
Learning Needs

Online learning

• Requested engaging and interactive activities

Face-to-face learning

• Interaction such as with collaborative activities are desired by adult learners

• (Brookfield, 1986; Ramsden, 2003; Chickering & Gamson, 1999; and, Chickering & Ehrmann, 1986).
Learning Needs

Online learning

- Requested opportunities for live communication to compensate for the loss of face-to-face dialogue

Face-to-face learning

- Contradicts their request that online pedagogy be different from classroom settings
Learning Needs

Online learning

- Requested an organized curriculum maintained by the instructor
- Requested a clear syllabus with explicit information about instructors’ expectations

Face-to-face learning

- Adult learners need clear learning objectives and expectations, and a well organized learning environment

(Abdul-Hamid & Lewis, 2005; Ali, Hodson-Carlton, & Ryan, 2004; Conrad, 2002; Garcia and Qin, 2007; Knowles, Holton, & Swanson, 1998; Ramsden, 2003; Stewart, 2006; Stodel, Thompson, & MacDonald, 2006; Vafa, 2002)
Possible Online Pedagogical Difference

• ‘Communication’ to participants more than timely responses to questions and feedback

• Important to:
  – Connect, socialize, and build relationships with others
  – Have course time and the communication technology to engage

• They felt connection online might not be as easily replicated as in f2f courses.

However....
However...

- Blended learning offers continued connection via online means (Garrison & Vaughan, 2007).
- Online learners had restricted time to engage frequently (as found by Anderson, 2008).
- Lack of time found as a learning barrier for adult students (Merriam, 2001; Merriam & Caffarella, 1999; Cross, 1981).
- Contradictory to their statements about wanting rigorous learning programs (Young & Norgard, 2006; Ukpokodu, 2008).
Solution

• Continue to explore notion of online pedagogy to determine
  – distinct qualities compared to a classroom setting
  – potential to improve learning via online
  – while considering the needs of adult learners
    • Gender?
    • Generational?
    • Role/level of communication and collaboration?
Learning Issues

DISCUSSION
Recap of Issues

• Discussion posting issues
• Workload issues
• Blended learning opportunities
• Determine uniqueness of online learning
• Hone best online practices
STEP #1: ADDRESSING LEARNER NEEDS & ISSUES

Engage in:
• student polling
• research projects
• program evaluation
• literature review
Student characteristics

- Workload issues
- Flexibility required
- Instructional activities
  - Relevant course content

Employment status and life commitments

- Online courses taken
- Years since last degree
- Online learning experience
- Student location
- Learning styles
  - Educational goals and field of employment
  - Time zone issues
  - Scheduling of events

Learning styles

- Educational goals and field of employment

Student needs informing program, course, and instructional design

Adult learning needs and motivations

- Program development and promotion
  - Faculty development
  - Learner needs research

Learner needs research

Program development and promotion

- Peer leadership
  - Technology supports needed
    - Technology training needed

Instructional activities

- Online courses taken
  - Online learning experience

Learning supports needed
Adult learner needs are situational and are influenced by learning styles, social orientation, locus of control, past experience with subject, and previous learning socialization.

(Knowles, Holton, & Swanson, 2005)
• Long (2003) suggests instructors gain a complete view of adult learners
  – Physical, cognitive, personality, experiential, and role characteristics.

• Students could achieve higher scores if provided
  – responsive instructional environment
  – that considered learner differences
  – with appropriate resources and teaching approaches
Participant Profile

• Participants were
  – self reliant
  – productive
  – well organized
  – result-oriented
  – decision makers

• They wanted choice and control over their learning.

• This group of learners understood quality, and had high expectations of their learning experience.
Final Thoughts

• Learner needs
  http://tinyurl.com/2g25afs

• Uniqueness of online learning
  • HCI
  • Brain-based and cognitive learning
  • Technology affordances
  • Impact of tools
  • System and social dynamics, MIT
    http://tinyurl.com/2b88utu

• Learning and social sciences
  http://tinyurl.com/25yscwu

• Ongoing critical inquiry
  • EDUCAUSE: Seeking Evidence of impact
    http://tinyurl.com/2c8aua2
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